RACE MIXING A RELIGIOUS FRAUD

BY

D. B. RED

Author of the pamphlet

A Corrupt Tree Bringeth Forth Evil Fruit

Race Mixing A Religious Fraud

Race mixing not only disregards the age-long experience of man and constitutional guarantees, but as it is now taught, is a religious fraud.

Many religious leaders have asked the Federal Government to reach over the heads of the states into homes to usurp the God-given right and duty of parents to give their children the benefit of the most wholesome surroundings in public schools. Approval is given to Block Busting integration which divides the value of residential property by two or three, and exposes people to all of the annoyance and dangers of slum and crime areas.

The indifference of church leaders to the fate of the victims of race mixing reminds this scribe of the hit and run drivers who never change their ways or look back at their victims. Some are worse than others but all of the larger denominations are pushing us down the Devil's highway of racial integration and on toward national perdition.

Christ says: "YE MUST BE BORN AGAIN
... BEHOLD I STAND AT THE DOOR AND
KNOCK", Church and State say: "YOU MUST BE
INTEGRATED NOW; BEHOLD A BAYONET IS
AT YOUR BACK."

IS THIS SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE, OR IS SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND CHRIST?

God Introduces Multiple Races and Segregation

While the virgin earth spread her beauty and bounty in all directions, great evils arose among men, who reveled in sin and so vexed the Creator that He almost destroyed mankind. As He again sent man forth with the hope of producing much more righteous conditions, He introduced the multiple race plan. Have age-long results justified the wisdom of God as displayed in this crisis? Or has time justified the wisdom of those who have long ignored this plan and spread a blanket of perpetual blight and mixed races over much of the earth?

Every faculty of man as he was originally created is capable of both good and evil. Frightful evils are entagled with the desire for a happy future existence. Evil also arises from a loyalty to your own kind and an aversion to other kinds. Should we denounce these things and reject the wisdom of the Creator? Or should we seek to better understand His wisdom?

The efforts of religious leaders to lead and force men to accept racial integration are surprising, and become even more so when the communist attitude is known. In 1913, a communist living in England suggested the agitation of the race problem in the United States of America as a trouble-maker. Comrade Lenin said, "We will find our most fertile field for the infiltration of Marxism within the field of religion, because religious people are the most gullible and will accept almost anything if it is couched in religious terminology." Soon the viper of racial integration was brought forth in robes of righteousness, and religious leaders have abundantly justified this statement of Lenin as to their gullibility. They freely misuse or ignore scripture in efforts to fit scriptural robes on this ancient abomination. It seems safe to assume that if God did err by the introduction of the multiple race plan, He would surely have been able to see it and would have at least relaxed in His demands for the adherence to this plan. The division of the land and the confusion of tongues at the Tower of Babel both tended to maintain racial divisions. The call of Abraham produced a racial subdivision and rigid segregation was used to preserve it. We find that God has always had to depend on minorities to carry His message. Perhaps He was wisely preparing in advance for this.

God Deals With Mixed People

There were natural and special penalties for integration. The commandments of Moses and Joshua clearly forbade mixing with the descendants of Ham. In strong, figurative language Joshua foretold the frightful price of disobedience. Quote Joshua 23:13: "They shall be snares and traps unto you and scourges in your sides, and thorns in your eyes, until ye perish from off this good land which the Lord your God hath given you." In Ezra, chapters 9 and 10, and Nehemiah, chapters 9 through 13, we find that on discovery of mixed marriages a systematic check was made and a considerable number were required to give up their stange wives with any children that they may have had. "Also they separated from Israel all of the mixed multitude." This was a clear-cut case of a special penalty. Others seem to have suffered a combination of penalties. In Genesis 26: 34-35 we find that Esau

first took two Hittite wives "which were a grief of mind to Isaac and Rebecca." In Obadiah 18 we find, mind to Isaac and remaining of the house of "There shall not be any remaining of the house of "There shall not be any centuries ago, Esau." This came to pass centuries ago,

Concerning Israel, Hosea said in 7:8-9, "Ephralm, he hath mixed himself among the people . . . Strangers hath devoured his strength and he knoweth it not."

Israel has been lost to history for 25 centuries. Look at the Jews now and decide who suffered an injustice. Here is proof that the wrath of God fell heavily on many mingled people who had no responsibility to carry God's message.

In Ezekiel 30: 4, 5 and 7, severe judgments were pronounced against Egypt and "all the mingled people" there and in adjoining territory.

In Jeremiah 50:37, we find that total destruction is to be the lot of "all mingled people" of Babylon. Also see Isaiah 13:20. God used three ways of solving the integration problem. First: Do not start it. Second: Dig it out root and branch. Third: Totally destroy the people involved. "Going after strange flesh" was one of the two sins mentioned as causing Sodom to suffer "the vengeance of eternal fire." Please note that Sodom, Edom, Babylon and Egypt had no responsibility to carry God's message.

Scripture is Misused to Discredit Segregation

Some religious leaders insist that segregation is immoral and unjust and claim that Old Testament segregation was religious. This assumes that God did wrong in the name of religion, and supports the idea that, "The end justifies the means." This must be rejected.

In October, 1956, Life Magazine carried an article by a prominent minister in which he held: "It is Christ we insult" through segregation. Christ was an all-out champion of the authority of Old Testament Scriptures. Here we have Abraham, Isaac, Moses, Joshua, Ezra and Nehemiah on record as staunch advocates of segregation. Hosea, Ezekiel and Jeremiah pronounced judgments against "mingled people" as such. Isaiah pronounced judgments against the same people. In a desperate effort to make out a case against segregation this minister quotes Isaiah 1:15-17. "When you spread forth your hands, I will hide my eyes from you even though you make many prayers, I will not listen: your hands are full of blood. Wash yourselves; make yourselves clean; remove the evil, learn to do good: seek justice, correct oppression." This was addressed to Judah who had lived under seggregation for centuries and would still vigorously enforce it under Ezra and Nehemiah.

Concerning long-mingled Babylon, Isaiah was soon to say in Chapter 13:20, "It shall never be inhabited, neither shall it be dwelt in from generation to generation: Neither shall the Arabian pitch tent there; Neither shall the shepherds make their fold there." If in warning Judah, Isaiah condemned segregation, he was in direct conflict with all other writers on the subject, and in absolute contradiction of himself in his judgments against other people. It also

places God in the impossible position of condemning people for a thing that He was requiring them to do.

Such misuse of scripture is repulsive to mature Christians and may be a serious offense against some of the "little ones" of whom Christ spoke in Matthew 18:6.

In Malachi 3:6 we find, "For I am the Lord, I cannot change." Yet Isaiah is quoted to prove that He has done a right-about-face and changed an ancient abomination into a Christian must that is so urgent it must be enforced by law. Integrated people lead the death march of nations.

Racial Separation and the Love of Christ

What was the meaning of the wisdom, firmness and even severity He displayed in contacts with men? His refusal to suggest as to the division of an inheritance could not have meant a lack of love, but may have indicated determination to avoid temporal matters, (Luke 12-13). Neither could the vigorous action in cleansing the temple have meant that the men concerned were excluded from his love. Severe judgments were pronounced against Chorazin, Capernaum, Bethsaida and Jerusalem. No doubt, if these cities had repented as Ninevah did, they would have been forgiven. Surely Christ was executing and not revising the plans of the Deity.

Herod was smitten unto death, "because he gave not God the glory." Making a shambles of His racial order gives Him no glory. The fate of Ananias and Sapphira did not indicate that we were entering an era of spineless love that was devoid of wisdom. "As many as I love, I rebuke and chasten".—Rev. 3:19.

Christ said, "Suffer little children to come unto me." They were not to be brought even though it might have meant eternal life. Now religious leaders insist that the law require children to mix even though it is a curse to all concerned. "Lead us not into temptation."

Long before the advent of the Savior, the mongrel millions of India were burning living widows on the funeral pyres of their husbands. The extent and depth of human wretchedness long suffered there seems to surpass anything ever endured by man.

Abyssinia and North Africa, too, have had long and unhappy experiences with mixed races. The latter is the most thoroughly anti-Christian land on earth. Amid disease and poverty "Abyssinian history is a story of bitter racial wars." India and Abyssinia both received the gospel at early dates but have corrupted it beyond recognition. Still, we have alleged servants of God who tell us that we must mix the races here to win people in other lands to Christ.

The corrupt tree of mixed races is bearing the same kind of fruit that it bore when Christ said, "Neither can a corrupt tree bring forth good fruit."

Surely no one will presume to love God and the black men better than David Livingston and Albert Schweitzer. Few can approximate their experience in dealing with them. Observation of the results of social equality between Portguese and Negroes caused Livingston to be increasingly against it. Schweitzer segregates in his hospital and has a shotgun handy to keep cannibals from stealing his patients.

Who Plays the Sucker

In the time of Christ gambling was hoary with age. National bondage and individual slavery were great evils. Neither of these are condemned in the Bible. They are generally conceded to be evil. Racemixing is not only often and severly condemned in the Bible, but is an age-long and incurable curse of the first magnitude. Of all the millions and billions of people who have borne the curse of blended blood and jumbled humanity, the mixers will only speak of the situation in Hawaii where they are said to have an easy fluid mixture of the races. They fail to note that communist influence has long been strong in the islands and that this fluid situation is a much sought goal of communism, since it facilitates the easy formation of communist cells, and leaves no coherent group to oppose them. Are these mixers as ignorant as they seem, or are they only presuming on the ignorance of others?

With the Old Testament and the age-long experience of man against them these mixers see the work of Christ in Samaria as the death knell of racial separation. Please remember that segregation was in full force when Jonah preached in Ninevah and still remain in force. When Peter was impressed with the fact that "God is no respecter of persons" he was not given a new and revolutionary revelation. The thought is often used in scripture and appears in Deut. 16:19 and 2nd Chr. 19:7, in pleas for honest law enforcement. It did not apply to racial lines in those cases.

With an international and inter-racial task at hand Christ chose an all-Jewish group of apostles who were to be directed to concentrate their best efforts on Greece and Rome. Here the gospel was to survive and spread among people who yielded the least to race-mixing. Among mixed people it languished and perished.

After thousands of years, God produced three converging white civilizations capable of preserving the gospel message. Through His life and death Christ completed that message. Surely Christ was not willing to destroy the only vehicle that might carry His gospel. If you think so, please figure this one out: Was Satan sucker enough to step in and cause these people to continue to spurn integration and carry the gospel? or, is he making suckers out of those who imagine that God has changed and now favors integration?

Fires That Will Continue to Burn

One ardent and vocal integrationist makes these mistakes:

1. He disregards scripture that does not suit him. He readily quotes the Old Testament "The tithe is the Lord's" and adds, "The Lord will see that the 'balance' goes farther". All the while he is calling for integration that may divide the value of a man's home by two or three and force him to rear his family sur-

rounded by slums and criminals if he cannot afford to move. This exposes the black boys to temptations they would not otherwise have and inflicts needless injury on the innocent. Still some presume to serve God and man with this abomination.

2. To him the words of scripture and the display of God's wrath against mixed races are less than worthless as he insists that we must mix them.

"As I live, saith the Lord God, I have no pleasure in the death of the wicked." (Ezek. 33:14). Not only has He destroyed groups of wicked people, but destroyed the young and innocent with them. In Ezra Ch. 10, we find that mixed marriages with children were broken up. The right to live in sin has never been granted. In John 17, we have what might be called the valedictory prayer of Christ. Here He excludes the world and prays fervently and tenderly, "For them which thou hast given me", beginning in verse 9 and continuing through 19. Verse 15 follows, "I pray not that thou shouldest take them out of the world, but that thou shouldest keep them from the evil." Verse 20 follows, "Neither pray I for these alone, but for them also which shall believe on Me through their word." Verse 21, "That they all may be one; as thou, Father, art in Me, and I in Thee." Surely Christ never more clearly revealed His inmost desires. On a percentage basis the South must have as many, if not more, people included in this petition than any similar region. Never have so many negroes fared so well, or a similar region built so many churches. Now many religious leaders show no disposition to help answer this great prayer of our Lord, but choose to advocate Kremlin-approved racial policies that kindle fires that will burn as long as there is an America. We ask them, "Will it pay? Oh, will it pay? On the Last Great Judgment Day?"

Great Men and A Big City Speak on Race Problem

Race-mixers offer no quotations from great men but often use the occasion where, in the presence of Robert E. Lee in a church service, a Negro produced an awkward situation when he knelt at a church altar. When others shied away, the gracious and poised General Lee knelt beside him. Evidently this did not establish a custom.

Jefferson always worked for emancipation and deportation of slaves. Quoting Jefferson: "Nothing is more certainly written in the book of fate than that these (Negro) people are to be free! nor is it less certain that the two races, equally free, cannot live in the same government."

Quoting Lincoln: I am not, nor ever have been, in favor of bringing about in any way the social and political equality of the White and Black Races . . . I will say . . . there is a physical difference between the White and Black Races which I believe will forever forbid the two races living together on terms of social and political equality . . . I, as much as any other man, am in favor of having the superior position assigned to the White Race."

One prominent race-mixer would have us plunge in and "pray for a solution." Another says: "Leave the consequences in God's hands." These pious gentlemen are trying to get us to wish off on God a responsibility that the clear words and deeds of scripture and the vast experience of man conclusively prove that He will not accept. We know that we have the

same God, the same Devil and the same humanity. plus a greater responsibility laid on us by the accumulated knowledge of the ages. These integration-pushers may live above the storm, but many are caught between the mill stones as members of the National Civic Association in Detroit, Michigan, have learned Quoting their statement: "We are the victims and propsed victims of the depredations of unscrupulous real estate speculators who scheme to install colored people in white neighborhoods for the deliberate purpose of debasing realty values for their own enrichment. . . . This cruel practice frequently has wiped out equities built up at great sacrifice by hard-working citizens. . . . We do not like the effects of racial integration of the schools. . . . The results have been generally harmful and at no time have we seen any benefits to pupils of any race. . . . We believe that forced mixing in Detroit is responsible for the high rate of inter-racial crime reported by our police department."

It seems that there is no hope that our blind guides will change their course. Will we blindly pay the penalty of those who follow blind leaders?

The Home and the Church

In 1959 two Harvard men, Doctors Zimmerman and Cervantes disclosed results of a detailed study of 60,000 American families.

Quoting this report: "In every city the 'good' families have the most in common with their friends." "Families having similar backgrounds, values, interests and outlooks with their friends show the lowest divorce, desertion, juvenile-arrest, and school dropouts." Families in unhappy surroundings have from 7 to 15 times as much trouble as the more fortunately situated ones.

In 1946, "An American Dilemma" by Myrdal was being taught in one of the largest seminaries in the South, and probably in most of others. This and similar teachings did much to prepare for the 1954 "Black Monday" decision that denied the right of parents to give their children wholesome public school surroundings. God gave us the home. Christ gave us the church. Surely it was never intended that the church should weaken or destroy the home. It is strange reasoning that causes churches to oppose obscene literature and favor school mixing, where girls of tender years must be exposed to older boys who too often are the living, aggressive personification of vicious sensuality. In view of the contempt that Christ seemed to have had for religious hypocrites, and the totally voluntary basis of his dealings even with little children, we wonder if He would not regard the forcing of little children into the vanguard of the mixing scheme as one of the most dastardly deeds that men could commit. Arrogant intrusion and the legal enforcement of religious teachings, whether true or false, had no place in the program of Christ. Without these things race mixing would be a dead issue.

The rejoicing of religious people because of race mixing is silly and contemptible as it seems to fulfil the vision of Isaiah when he said "Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil; that put darkness for light, and light for darkness; that put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter."

Who Failed in Liberia? Who Did Well in the South?

In Top Hats and Tom Toms; By Furbay 1943, we find interesting information as to the skill of our religious leaders in dealing with their colored brothers. We write from 1943 standpoint when there were about 11,500 Americo-Liberians in Monrovia the capital.

During more than a century American protestant and philanthropic groups spent many millions for Liberian missions and social services. For some years prior to 1940 this was averaging \$250,000. Nearly all of which was absorbed in Monrovia by descendants of American slaves, who had become an arrogant, indolent caste of people, steeped in voodooism, saturated with venereal disease, and demanding a church that permitted polagamy. The statement, "The Americo-Liberian has outdone all the Simon Legrees of American slavery," seems justified.

In 1930 League of Nations agents exposed wanton cruelty in large forced labor operations. About 100 natives perished in raids on their villages. About eighty native chiefs were assembled for a conference and executed. One large American mission had closed, another was considering closing. Another had not, in 75 years, produced one minister worthy of ordination.

The Americo-Liberian scorns natives and native products, except their women as outside wives.

Undaunted by total failure in Liberia we still have leaders who pose as universal racial experts. Surely Christ would x-ray their performance and refuse to allow them to destroy the work of those who furnish the world's best example of the co-existence of the white and black races. Monrovia proves that there can be no equality with the black man. He will be either below you or above you.

Our God is pre-eminently a God of truth. Church people should allow themselves no variation from truth. Yet we find that efforts to induce people to accept race mixing are based on far-fetched and unrealistic conceptions of the problem, and the flagrant misuse of scripture.

Religious and political ideas may be discarded, but the curse of blended blood has only been removed by death.

The stakes are high and the perils are great. This is a fight for Western civilization. No wonder the Kremlin is against us. Is your denomination helping to push us down the Devil's highway of racial integration? If so, remember who is paying the bills and whose civilization is going down the drain. The right to destroy a civilization does not exist and cannot be created by man.

The best way to be heard is to speak through the ballot box and collection plate.

PRICES

3 copie	s20c	100	copies	\$3.00
20 for	\$1.00	400	copies	\$10.00

A Corrupt Tree Bringeth Forth Evil Fruit-12...\$1.00